## Lecture 16 on Nov. 072013

In the last lecture, an index of $z_{0}$ with respect to a closed curve $\gamma$ has been introduced. Now we study some properties of the index $n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)$. Since $z_{0}$ keeps away from $\gamma$, we can find a tiny disk $B\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)$ so that $B\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)$ has no intersection with $\gamma$. here $B\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)$ denotes the disk centered at $z_{0}$ with radius $\epsilon$. Clearly if $\epsilon$ is small enough, we have $|z-w| \geq c^{*}$ for all $z$ in $B\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)$ and $w$ on $\gamma . c^{*}$ is a positive constant. Choosing $z$ an arbitrary point in $B\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|n(\gamma, z)-n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)\right| & =\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{w-z}-\frac{1}{w-z_{0}} \mathrm{~d} w\right|=\frac{\left|z-z_{0}\right|}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{(w-z)\left(w-z_{0}\right)} \mathrm{d} w\right| \\
& \leq \frac{\left|z-z_{0}\right|}{2 \pi} \int_{\gamma} \frac{1}{|w-z|\left|w-z_{0}\right|}|\mathrm{d} w|
\end{aligned}
$$

By our assumption, we know that $|w-z| \geq c^{*}$ and $\left|w-z_{0}\right| \geq c^{*}$. Hence from the above estimate, we imply that

$$
\left|n(\gamma, z)-n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\left|z-z_{0}\right|}{2 \pi\left(c^{*}\right)^{2}} \int_{\gamma}|\mathrm{d} w|=\frac{\text { length of } \gamma}{2 \pi\left(c^{*}\right)^{2}}\left|z-z_{0}\right|
$$

Therefore if $z$ is very close to $z_{0}$, equivalently if $\epsilon$ (the radius of $B\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)$ ) is very small, we have $\mid n(\gamma, z)-$ $n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right) \mid<1 / 2$. In light that $n(\gamma, z)$ and $n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)$ are all integers, we show that $n(\gamma, z)=n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)$ must hold. In other words, all points in $B\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)$ share same index with respect to $\gamma$. Given $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ two points in $\mathbb{C}$ and a continuous path $l$ connecting $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$, if the intersection of $l$ and $\gamma$ is empty, then we can cover $l$ by a finite sequence of tiny balls. Meanwhile all points in each tiny disk share same index. Supposing we have two tiny balls in the sequence say $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$, then $B_{1} \cap B_{2} \neq \emptyset$. otherwise $l$ is not continuous. all points in $B_{1}$ have same index, denoted by $N_{1}$ and all points in $B_{2}$ have same index denoted by $N_{2}$. But $B_{1} \cap B_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $N_{1}=N_{2}$. In other words, if we can connect $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ by a continuous path $l$ whose intersection with $\gamma$ is empty, then $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ have same index.

Now we come back to the Cauchy integral formula. From the last lecture, we know that if $n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right) \neq 0$, then

$$
f\left(z_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w-z_{0}} \mathrm{~d} w
$$

From the above arguments, choosing $\epsilon$ small enough, then $n(\gamma, z)=n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)$ for all $z$ in $B\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)$. Hence by Cauchy integral formula, we know that

$$
f(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i n(\gamma, z)} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w=\frac{1}{2 \pi i n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} \mathrm{~d} w .
$$

Moreover if $s$ is small enough, we also have

$$
f(z+s)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{w-(z+s)} \mathrm{d} w
$$

Therefore it holds that

$$
\frac{f(z+s)-f(z)}{s}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w-z-s)(w-z)} \mathrm{d} w
$$

Taking $s \rightarrow 0$, the right-hand side above converges to

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi i n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w-z)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} w
$$

Hence we know that $f$ is derivable at $z$ and it holds that

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w-z)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} w
$$

Inductively the higher order derivatives of $f$ can also be calculated. it is the proposition in the following
Proposition 0.1. If $f$ is analytic in $\Delta$ where $\Delta$ is a disk, then for any natural number $k$,

$$
f^{(k)}(z)=\frac{k!}{2 \pi i n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right)} \int_{\gamma} \frac{f(w)}{(w-z)^{k+1}} \mathrm{~d} w
$$

Here $\gamma$ is a contour in $\Delta$ such that $n\left(\gamma, z_{0}\right) \neq 0 . z$ is any point in $B\left(z_{0}, \epsilon\right)$ with $\epsilon$ small enough.
From Proposition 0.1, two cheap results can be easily obtained.
Theorem 0.2 (Liouville's theorem). If $f$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C}$ and $|f(z)| \leq M$ for some $M>0$ and all $z$ in $\mathbb{C}$, then $f$ must be a constant.

Proof. Fixing $z$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and $R$ large enough so that $z$ is in $B(0, R / 2)$. Here $B(0, R / 2)$ is the ball centered at 0 with radius $R / 2$. Then by Proposition 0.1 , we know that

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|=\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left|\int_{|z|=R} \frac{f(w)}{(w-z)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} w\right|
$$

Here the abosolute value of the index in Cauchy formula is 1 in that the index of $z$ with respect to the circle $|z|=R$ must be 1 or -1 . Using the above equality, we show that

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{|z|=R} \frac{|f(w)|}{|w-z|^{2}}|\mathrm{~d} w|
$$

Noticing that $z$ is in $B(0, R / 2)$, so for all $w$ on $|z|=R,|w-z| \geq R / 2$. Applying this estimate together with the fact that $|f| \leq M$ to the above inequality, we know that

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{2 M}{\pi} \frac{1}{R^{2}} \int_{|z|=R}|\mathrm{~d} w|=\frac{2 M}{\pi} \frac{1}{R^{2}} 2 \pi R=\frac{4 M}{R} \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text { as } R \rightarrow \infty
$$

This shows that $f^{\prime}(z)=0$. Since $z$ is arbitrary, therefore $f^{\prime}(z)=0$ for all $z$ in $\mathbb{C}$ which tells us that $f$ must be a constant.

The second theorem is Morera's theorem. It gives us a way to go from continuity to analyticity.
Theorem 0.3 (Morera's theorem). if $f$ is continuous in a domain $\Omega$ and for all $\gamma$ a closed curve in $\Omega$ we have

$$
\int_{\gamma} f(z) \mathrm{d} z=0
$$

then $f$ must be analytic.
Proof. Using the condition in Theorem 0.3, we know that

$$
F(z)=\int_{\gamma\left(z_{0}, z\right)} f(w) \mathrm{d} w
$$

is well-defined. here $z_{0}$ is a fixed point in $\Omega, \gamma\left(z_{0}, z\right)$ is a path in $\Omega$ connecting $z_{0}$ and $z$. From the previous arguments, we know that $F(z)$ is analytic and $f(z)=F^{\prime}(z)$. Using Proposition 0.1 , we know that $F$ can be differentiated infinitely many times. So from the relationship $f(z)=F^{\prime}(z)$, we know that $f$ must also be differentiated infinitely many times. So $f$ is analytic.

